

OPDC LOCAL PLAN
DRAFT MODIFICATIONS MARCH 2021
SCHEDULE OF INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS

- Throughout Text in Policy/Para/figure column should refer to both the original submitted plan reference as well as the reference in the plan as proposed to be modified where they are different. (Because Modifications are to be made to the plan as submitted, not to the plan as it is proposed to be modified). Thus; "SP6b(iv) (to become SP6b(d))", or "3.64 (to become 3.63)" or "WJ13 (to become 4.232)"
- MM/PS2/OPDC/SV8 Text to be deleted has been underscored as well as crossed through. New text has not been underscored.
- MM/PS2/OPDC/SP/13 Policy/para/figure entry should not be red or crossed through
- MM/PS2/OPDC/SP16 Policy/para/figure entry should be SP6b(iv) (to become SP6b(d), not SP6(d))
- MINOR/PS2/OPDC/SP/1 Policy/para/figure entry should not be red or crossed through
- MINOR/PS/OPDC M18 Policy/para/figure entry should read Table 3.1, item 7
- MINOR/General I cannot identify the formatting error referred to.
- MINOR/PS2/OPDC/P/1 Should P8C1 be included in the Policy/para/figure column? In Modification column, add reference to the new paragraph numbers added to the Plan, ie new paras 4.82 (to follow B13), 4.129 (to follow NAT6), 4.134 (to follow OCL4), 4.156 (to follow AJ9), 4.195 (to follow HRC7), 4.202 (to follow LLC6), 4.209 (to follow HC6), 4.217 (to follow MC8)
- MM/PS2/OPDC/P2/1 There is a formatting error in the tracked changes version of the Plan as proposed to be modified starting with the supporting text to policy P2, which uses the old paragraphing system OON.1 – OON9 instead of the new paragraphing system 4.31-4.39 so that paragraphs 4.31 – 4.235 (as proposed to be modified) should be numbered 4.40 – 4.244.
- There is some duplication/overlap between MM/PS2/OPDC/P3/7 and MM/PS2/OPDC/P3/15
- MM17/PS/Q3e Table 4.2 on page 64 of the schedule of Modifications omits the text to be deleted
- MM/PS2/OPDC/P11/16 Paragraph 4.225 (should be 4.234, former paragraph WJ15) The text "Upgrades should not result....etc" should be added to part of policy P11(d) (MM/PS2/OPDC/P11/5) (my apologies for not spotting this in my e-mail of April 2019)
- MM/PS2/OPDC/P12/3 the renumbering of former paragraph WS7 is incorrect (4.234 was meant, not 4.239 but in any event it should be 4.243)
- MINOR/2/D8/6 Should the cross reference to policy D8(b) be to D7(b)?
- MINOR/2/D8/8 Should this be a modification of table 5.2 rather than 5.1?

MM2/PS/Q3d The modification to paragraph 6.111 should no longer refer to the draft London Plan but to the published London Plan 2021.

MM/PS2/OPDC/E/Z The figure to be deleted should be shown as struck through; ~~40,400~~

MM/PS2/OPDC/E1/1 The figure to be deleted should be shown as struck through; ~~40,400~~

MM/PS2/OPDC/E1/6 Not “There is evidence....” But “The evidence....”

MM/PS2/OPDC/E/2/1 The figure to be deleted should be shown as struck through

MM/PS2/OPDC/E/2/3 The figure to be deleted should be shown as struck through

MM6/PS/Q3u E5; superfluous “to”; “This will ~~to~~ enable them....”

MM/PS2/OPDC/TCC3/1 Policy TCC3 and its supporting text paragraphs 10.18-10.23 has been deleted

MM/PS/OPDC/M15(2) The second part of the modification to paragraph 10.53 (to become 10.51) should be included within the policy itself (MM/PS/OPDC/M15(3)), either by deleting “public houses”(seven times) and substituting either “pubs and wine bars” or “licensed drinking premises” or by a modification to the Glossary so as to define public house as including all licensed drinking premises.

The last sentence of paragraph 10.53 (to become 10.51) ceases to be relevant to the more widely-defined policy unless it is to be modified to list all wine bars that might be included within the ambit of the policy, and so, should be proposed to be deleted.

MM/PS2/OPDC/D1/2 (Paragraph 11.8) the new text IDP replacing DIFS(2015) should be underscored

MM/PS2/OPDC/D1/3 I cannot identify within paragraph 11.9 the phrase “Essential infrastructure required” which is proposed to be modified.

MM/PS2/OPDC/D13/1 is included and duplicated within MM/PS2/OPDC/D13/2

MINOR/PS/Q3ab Seems to be both deleting and retaining highlighted text to paragraph 11.38 now 11.39

FIGURE/PS2/OPDC/4.38 The key for the enhanced walking route does not correspond to its indication on the modified figure

FIGURE/PS2/OPDC/4.44 The key to both the submitted and the modified plan refers to Local Heritage Lising. Should that be Listing?

FIGURE/PS2/OPDC/9.4 the key to modified figure 9.4 should read de-designated.

P. W. Clark

Inspector

15.3.2021