

Q29 Following Agenda Session 2 last week, it was agreed that the OPDC would give me a detailed written response to the report by JAM on behalf of Old Oak Park Ltd reviewing the Integrated Impact Assessment, which was attached as Appendix A to DP9's Written Statement in response to Matter 3 submitted on behalf of Old Oak park Ltd and also to the Opinion by David Elvin QC dated 1 April 2019 on compliance with Strategic Environmental Assessment. In doing so, would the OPDC please also ensure that I am provided with the following items referred to in Submission Document SD2 (Integrated Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulation Assessment);

- The matrix referred to in the fifth paragraph of section 3.4 of the report; "Overarching strategic options were assessed ahead of the drafting of the Regulation 18 Local Plan. The purpose of the assessment was to determine the sustainability strengths and weaknesses of each option, such that this information would be used by the plan-makers to develop the plan's policy options and preferred policies (section 6.3). The preferred policies and policy options were then assessed alongside one another in detail, which enabled a comparison of their predicted sustainability effects, to inform the development of the preferred policies. A matrix was used for this assessment enabling the policies and options to be easily compared."
- A narrative of the first part of stage B of the SEA process described in the seventh paragraph of section 3.4 of the report; "This report documents the second part of Stage B of the SEA process and represents Stage C and D of the SA Process. This IIA Report, is being published alongside the Regulation 19(2) Second Revised Draft Local Plan".

Q30 The last two columns in the table headed SEA Compliance Policy Recommendations following Appendix F in the submitted copy of Submission Document SD2 are blank. May I be provided with a copy with those last two columns completed, please?