

**OLD OAK AND PARK ROYAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
INDUSTRIAL
SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT
ADOPTION STATEMENT**

This adoption statement has been prepared by the Mayor of London's Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation (OPDC) pursuant to Regulations 11 and 14 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

Notice is hereby given that:

(a) on 23rd November 2023 OPDC adopted the Industrial Supplementary Planning Document (SPD);

(b) a number of modifications were made to the SPD pursuant to section 23 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (the Act). These are set out in the Appendix;

(c) any person with sufficient interest in the decision to adopt the SPD may apply to the High Court for permission to apply for judicial review of that decision; and

(d) any such application must be made promptly and, in any event, not later than 3 months after the date (23rd November 2023) on which the SPD was adopted.

(e) A copy of the documents can be viewed on OPDC's webpages and in the following locations during opening hours:

- OPDC Offices, 1st Floor, Brent Civic Centre, HA9 0FJ
- Wembley Library, Brent Civic Centre, HA9 0FJ
- Harlesden Library, 49A Craven Park Road, NW10 8SE
- Brent Hub Community Enterprise Centre, 6 Hillside, NW10 8BN
- The Collective Old Oak, Nash House, Old Oak Lane London NW10 6FF

Appendix

Modifications Schedule

The modification reference corresponds with the **Track Changes Industrial SPD (showing changes following consultation)**.

The Consultation Statement reference relates to consultation responses which can be found within Table 1 of the **Consultation Statement**.

Both documents can be viewed at the below link: [OPDC planning policy | London City Hall](#)

Modification reference	Consultation Statement comment reference (if relevant)	Reason for modification
1	N/A	To correct typographical errors.
1A	N/A	To aid readability of the document by providing additional cross references to other parts of the SPD or Local Plan policies
2	N/A	Text removed as public consultation is no longer live and to reflect adoption status.
3	9, 10, 11	To ensure cycle parking is as convenient and accessible as possible and caters for relevant needs.
4	12	To clarify that the provision of car parking has to be justified and references to electric charging.
5	13	To clarify references to LGVs and that all facilities for servicing should be onsite; with on-street loading bays only used where this is not possible.
6	15	To clarify that all sites should consider use of water and rail but a feasibility study will need to be submitted for sites that are in closer proximity.
7	21, 66, 69, 77, 114, 208, 215, 219	To explain that the Park Royal typology should be tested as an option within Design and Access Statements with a clear explanation given if an alternative approach is proposed after testing.
8	22, 25, 26, 27,36, 62, 81, 82, 83, 84, 88, 89, 112, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 123, 124, 134, 138, 208, 209, 212,	To explain that it may be more challenging to meet elements of the SPD guidance in certain circumstances and these would be considered on a case by case basis.

Modification reference	Consultation Statement comment reference (if relevant)	Reason for modification
	213, 214, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 223, 224, 225,	
9	24, 71, 77, 86, 132, 222	To clarify that proposals for affordable workspace should comply with Local Plan policies and refer to OPDC's Planning Obligations SPD.
10	17, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 45, 62, 72, 76	To include additional socio-economic information as part of the context that refers to demand and supply factors.
11	48	To raise awareness about the designated and undesignated heritage assets within the OPDC area.
12	49	To clarify where more detail is given on how proposals should consider relevant local character and townscape matters.
13	50	To refer applicants to relevant Conservation Area Appraisals and/or Management Plans.
14	55	To ensure applicants are aware of the safeguarding zones associated with RAF Northolt.
15	80, 125, 126, 172, 186	To clarify the need to balance the design and functionality of roofs including cross references and clarifying that rooftops are an acceptable location for PV panels.
16	83	To confirm that active and/or positive frontages can be associated with positive forecourts.
17	96, 99, 100, 101, 106	To explain that the Canal & River Trust is a key stakeholder and/or the need for engagement and formal agreements with the Canal and River Trust on relevant proposals.
18	98	To recognise the potential need for additional investment related to moving freight by water and the need to submit a risk assessment as part of freight feasibility studies.
19	100	To recognise the importance of active travel routes.
20	103, 104, 121, 136, 141, 146, 147, 148,	To provide additional or correct cross referencing and signposting to other parts of the SPD, Local Plan and London Plan policies, specific documents, projects/schemes/other consents as

Modification reference	Consultation Statement comment reference (if relevant)	Reason for modification
	150, 151, 153, 166, 169, 174, 175, 176, 180, 181, 182, 195, 196, 197	well as clarifications and supporting text related to these.
21	106	To clarify the role SuDs have in managing water quality and the need to manage the risks of pollution.
22	107	To correct the typographical error.
23	111, 133	To clarify how OPDC will consider the Ambitions.
24	87, 128	To refer to a Londonwide target for tree cover instead of a site level target and the contributions that planting new trees can make.
25	129, 130, 153	To provide examples of buffers between industrial and residential uses and clarify mitigation measures should be applied to design and construction of buildings.
26	131	To ensure the location of access/egress points are considered to reduce potential impacts
27	143	To clarify that increasing industrial employment densities does not outweigh the need to accommodate industrial uses that cannot be located elsewhere.
28	144	To clarify that separate access points should be provided for pedestrians/cyclists and vehicles and between industrial and residential uses on mixed uses schemes.
29	148, 149	To ensure SIL can meet a range of needs, that industrial operations can function successfully within SIL and as part of mixed use developments outside of SIL.
30	161	To refer to opportunities to retain other features and how this can support waste reduction and the circular economy.
31	162	To clarify that opportunities should be taken to retain trees where possible.
32	170	To clarify that opportunities for activities to be enclosed within buildings are considered.
33	173	To clarify that consideration should be given to avoiding discharge into made ground.
34	179	To ensure applicants are aware of named sensitive water quality receptors.

Modification reference	Consultation Statement comment reference (if relevant)	Reason for modification
35	189, 190, 191	To clarify that the SPD supports the planting of hedges and the advantages of providing green infrastructure.
36	194	To ensure consistency in the document by referring to improving access to the canal.
37	211, 216	To clarify the role/limitations of the illustrations.
38	214	To clarify opportunities for shared yards could be explored for industrial users.
39	182	To ensure consistency between the Principles in supporting opportunities to maximise the use of zero emission operational vehicles.
40	145	To clarify that staff amenity space should be inclusive and accessible take into account relevant design guidance.
41	89	To clarify the Urban Greening Factor targets for different types of developments set out in the London Plan.
42	201, 202	To clarify the targets for recycle/reuse content of materials.