LONDON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Full Commission Meeting Friday 25th January 2013, 2-5pm, City Hall, Committee Room 1 Minutes

Present: John Plowman (Chair), Karen Lawrence, Richard Stephenson, Nicky Gavron, Harpinder Singh, Samantha Heath, Paul de Zylva, Shaun McCarthy
 Secretariat: Patrick Feehily, Nusrat Yousuf, Martin Jones
 Apologies: Adrian Gurney, Paul Toyne, Sue Riddlestone, Andy Wales, Alison Barnes, Ed Gillespie, Howard Davidson, Chris Church, Paul Edwards, Andrew MacAskill

1. Welcome

• JP asked all Commissioners to note that attendance is being monitored and 70% attendance will be the requirement from now on.

Action: Secretariat to continue to monitor and report attendance to the LSDC Executive.

2a. Minutes from previous Full Commission meeting

- 'Minutes from the 23rd November Full Commission meeting' were circulated prior to the meeting.
- It's thought that the Mayor's 2020 Vision for London has been absorbed into the work of a new 'Finance Commission', chaired by Tony Travers, which has delayed its publication. The Mayor's Mansion House speech says something about this.
- LSDC needs to take account of the 2020 Vision in its future work and offer of help to the Mayor.

Action: PF to investigate the new 'Finance Commission' and report back to LSDC.

2b. Minutes from Executive Sub-group meeting

- 'Minutes from the 16th January LSDC Executive meeting' were circulated prior to the meeting as requested.
- Information subsequent to the meeting indicates that LSDC will need to bid for its budget for 2013/14:
 - Amounts less than £50K to be judged by a monthly Steering group chaired by Edward Lister.
 - Bid documentation not yet available.
 - A departmental bid for catering, including LSDC, is likely to be submitted.
 - Bids for Green Entrepreneurs, Closed Loop, and London Leaders are likely to be required, all will need to include appropriate communications activities.
- The latest Quality of Life Indicators launch programme was tabled at the meeting:
 - 125 delegates are registered.
 - A briefing has been provided to the Mayoral Advisor for his speech.
 - \circ The press release emphasises the positives but does not ignore negatives.
 - ITV had contacted the GLA Press Office about volunteering statistics, who had asked the Secretariat if those in the report could be used ahead of release – all present agreed to this.

Action: PF to investigate budget bidding process and advise LSDC. Action: PF to advise Press Office that volunteering statistics can be released to ITV.

3a. Green Entrepreneurs Workshop

• 'Green Entrepreneurs Discussion Framework' was circulated prior to the meeting.

How do we define 'Green Entrepreneurs?

- It was recognised that there's lots already going on with social entrepreneurs, so a focus on 'green' would be useful, while recognising that social entrepreneurism is important.
- The project plan should recognise that all 3 areas are important but the focus should be on 'green'.
- The distinction between 'social entrepreneur' and 'social enterprise' was recognised, although the project should cover both.
- The different policy context was recognised for large corporates, who may assist small start-ups or be early adopters; and individual entrepreneurs who invent/innovate.
- The focus of the project is on barriers barriers such as finance are not generally a problem for large corporates the project needs to recognise the different barriers.
- Could include a range of sectors/industries e.g. food.
- The project should focus on encouraging new entrepreneurs/enterprise, and encouraging smaller entrepreneurs/enterprise, including SME's.

Project focus - barriers to finance or other barriers?

- Most funding that is available is from public sources, which is declining in the current climate the project should focus on non-public sources.
- However the project should not forget public funding it should map what is currently available e.g. ERDF, Technology Strategy Board's SBRI programme: <u>http://www.innovateuk.org/deliveringinnovation/smallbusinessresearchinitiative.ashx</u>
- Demand for finance is also a problem demand for start-up funding is less than it should be reasons for this should be investigated.
- Perhaps public funds should be used to create demand a new product/service could be technically proven but financially unviable there's a spectrum of difficulty in creating demand.
- Regulation/policy could be used to create demand.
- The way that large business interacts with small business should also be considered (small often sell into large).
- The Institute for Sustainability are doing work on demand, mostly relating to the built environment, and could be included in the project.

What are the outcomes/outputs?

- The project needs to excite interest in order to engage the key players it needs clear benefits for them perhaps engage with Harvey McGrath promote funding that entrepreneurs could bid into; but also provide access to people who've been through the process, and can share relevant skills/experience networking opportunities are very important.
- Links to Mayor's jobs and growth priority should be made the project's vision is to encourage the growth of the green economy, although it should recognise that this is a small part of the green economy (GLA definition of green economy is very wide).

Project approach:

Action	Content	Lead	By when
1. Scoping Paper	 Literature review What's going on already. Who are key players - current Green entrepreneur networks. LSx, EST experience. What's best practice - include UK, Europe, etc. What are the gaps. Finance barriers - likely to be complex (European experience suggest a lack of specialist banks). What sectors/organisations have an interest and which should be involved in the project. Budget may be required for intern expenses. [Consultant may need to be commissioned - at what stage TBC.] 	KL/SH – intern (expenses need to be covered)	Mid February
2. Workshop	 Include key players – to be identified Scoping paper = input. To bring people together, discuss, comment, and develop further. Budget available £4-5K (to be spent pre 31st March). 	ТВС	ТВС
3. Task Force	 To investigate the barriers. Could develop specific recommendations. Where can LSDC add value – if cannot then need to issue a 'state of play' document. 	ТВС	ТВС

Action: KL/SH to take project forward initially (co-ordination of Scoping Paper). Secretariat to organise first meeting of sub group

3b. Closed Loop London Workshop

• 'Closed Loop London Discussion Framework' was circulated prior to the meeting.

Project focus:

- Developing a secondary materials economy most London boroughs are moving away from sorting waste to co-mingling, meaning that London is missing out on jobs and growth opportunities such as paper mills.
- London is the only major capital city that does not control the collection and disposal of its waste.
- This was the aim of the Mayor's Waste Strategy and the GLA Waste team would be supportive.
- Pitch any work as about 'resources', rather than 'waste' and make the link to one of London's strengths design.
- Work on this could make recommendations on waste, which could be applied more broadly to closed loop.
- Design angle e.g. buildings designed for 40 years but demolished after 10 years.
- The project should focus on 'Better use of materials', including in design, waste, etc exact title to be confirmed.

- The work needs to provide economic analysis and state the business case for dealing with waste in London differently what are the opportunity costs?
- In parallel, a connected but separate piece of work should be undertaken with a wider focus on closed loop, including:
 - What's Ellen MacArthur Foundation saying about closed loop, as well as chartered institutions, royal institutions, etc
 - Lessons from construction and other industries.
 - Experience in other European cities.
 - Olympic examples, including compostable waste.
 - Domestic waste.

Project approach:

- A similar approach to 'Green Entrepreneurs' stated above was recommended.
- NG to develop Scoping Paper with Secretariat guidance for 'better use of materials' project an industrialist is likely to be required to conduct the subsequent research.
- SM & PdZ to develop ideas for a wider piece of work on Closed Loop.
- SM to retain leadership of this working group (including both projects) for the time being.

Action: NG to develop 'Better use of materials' Scoping Paper.

Action: SM & PdZ to develop ideas for a wider piece of work on Closed Loop. Action: NY to set up Closed Loop Working Group meetings when project timetable known. Action: PF to confirm date of meeting with Matthew Pencharz and invite JP, KL, SH, SM, NG – confirmed for 13th Feb

4. LSDC Ways of Working

- 'Membership, focus and schedule of LSDC meetings in 2013' was circulated prior to the meeting.
- Finance entrepreneurship expertise need is pressing although it is currently unclear exactly what is required.
- It was decided to delay recruitment of new Commissioners until the outcome of the LSDC bid for funding is known.
- The new structure is project driven rather then theme driven but LSDC still reserves the right to comments on Mayoral strategy/policy if they consider it appropriate.

Action: MJ to add NG & HS to both LL/GE and Closed Loop Working Groups.

5. LSDC Ethical Sponsorship Policy and Procedure

- 'LSDC Ethical Sponsorship Policy and Procedure' was circulated prior to the meeting.
- Under point 4 'nuclear' should be removed.
- It was agreed to adopt the policy and review it in a few months time.

Action: MJ to amend policy as above. Action: NY to monitor use & effectiveness of policy

11. AOB

• It was suggested that LSDC may wish to consider becoming a charity. Action: **PF to investigate LSDC becoming a charity.**

Next Full Commission meeting Friday 19th April, 2-5pm, City Hall (or Commissioner may host)