

Full Commission Meeting 24th November, City Hall CR1, 2-5pm

Minutes

made strongly enough.

Present: Greg Barker, Paul Turner, Dan Epstein, Richard Templer, Karen Lawrence, Miriam Maes, Paul Toyne, James Cameron, James Byrne, Bridget Jackson, Nicky Gavron, Claudia Webb, Nusrat Yousuf, Jem McKenna-Percy, Patrick Feehily

Item	Action
1. Welcome and Apologies:	
Evelyn Rothschild, David Brownlow, Matthew Pencharz, Jeremy Oppenheim, Paul de	
Zylva, Peter Ainsworth, Ed Gillespie	
2. Minutes from previous meeting	
Minutes agreed and no outstanding issues	
3. Circular Economy	
See attached presentation – progress well received	
Jobs report	
Issues raised:	
- 40,000 jobs figure – need to position for launch event	
- What types of jobs are created – across all sectors and levels	
 Emphasising the servitisation model PR will cover social media as well standard media via the GLA and the LSDC PR 	
- PR will cover social media as well standard media via the GLA and the LSDC PR team will target the trade press. Need to invite trade and feature press to event.	
- Suggestion made to hold a "bring and fix" type event for the launch of the	
report	
CE business challenge – currently working this up	
Route map – sub group has taken the approach of encouragement, but has reserved the right to challenge content if needed.	
Big idea	
- Proposal being put together for McKinsey's to look at. Focusses on the issue of	
standardisation of waste collection and disposal in London to improve route to a	
CE Contract lengths to be looked at also	
- Contract lengths to be looked at also - Technology that has been invested in	
- Identify the optimum standard needed	
- Suggestion made to we need to better focus on materials rather than waste.	
What materials are needed to stimulate for a CE?	
- There will be a need to build a consensus around the Single waste authority and	
the standards needed as this a very political issue.Last time round a SWA was looked the feeling was that the economic case wasn't	
Last time round a 500% was rooked the recinity was that the economic case wash t	

Ac	tion: Spec for McKinsey's to be reshaped following this discussion	Sub group and Sec
4.	Decentralised Energy	
	e attached presentation (MM) – progress well received On the system of systems workstream ('Decentralised Energy Concept and Pilot) a paper had been created by the group outlining the potential model. A next step would be a concept study to establish principles and quantitative /qualitative benefits of an integrated system approach to design, build, finance, operations and maintenance of infrastructure systems, and then to develop technical and financial models as an integral part of this approach. It was determined that engagement with OPDC should begin via the meeting with CEO Victoria Hills and DE/GB to begin to explore the practical application. On heat infrastructure investment the group was considering the potential to facilitate a solution to the issue of financial risk around strategic investment in London DE projects, focussed on heat ("funding ahead of need"). Major barrier is over-investment necessary for initial heat networks to ensure they can operate at the final strategic capacity. Such potential solutions included and DevCo and a specification had been prepared for McKinsey to explore. On solar, it was noted that the LSDC had submitted a response to DECC's FIT Consultation. An outcome was imminent and it was felt that once known the group could determine further work in this area. Primarily though the group felt though that as solar is most effective when part of a systems approach to energy – integrated into wider technology mix and supported by appropriate storage mechanisms – that it should be integrated as part of its other work, particularly in relation to the systems approach.	
• See	Tall Buildings competition attached presentation (DE) – proposal agreed DE presented a proposal from the group to partner with the existing Housing Design Awards (run by Design for Homes) on the creation of the Commission's new tall buildings award The advantages of this approach included the existing prestige and traction the HDAs have in the built environment sector, that they have an existing data collection mechanism, would be more cost effective than creating a new award in isolation, existing involvement from Mayor of London, emphasis on shared learning. Timings and required budget was discussed and the Commission agreed this was a very positive approach (Commission approved £10K underspend from London Leaders to be diverted to cover the award costs for this year) It was agreed that the Commission should establish and evaluate this special award before expanding the challenge to other cities internationally	Sub group to development criteria Sec to progress with GLA and HDA team
5.	Clean Tech and Innovation	
שכ	e attached presentation – progress well received	
_	Suggestion made to announce idea of the cleantech cluster at COP21 OPDC have agreed to a feasibility study on how clean tech and low carbon can be taken forward at the OOPR	
-	Recommendations in the papers to be changed to reflect what could be taken	

- forward in the feasibility study
- Suggestion made to use stage 2 of the cleantech cluster (incubator hub) as a lab for the OPDC this needs to be highlighted more in the report.
- Debate about the term "Cleantech " was acknowledged
- Suggestion made to include factoids on ambitions i.e. what are the investment levels needed to take this forward

Tea break

6. Cross cutting themes

See attached presentation

Dan presented on the key issues and processes needed to make the OPDC a sustainable development including the Systems of Systems approach.

- Need for Zero carbon development
- The current vision is light touch due to a lack of resources at the OPDC
- Other cities have already employed the systems of systems approach e.g. Hammersby in Sweden taking into account a low carbon footprint, resilience and the community.
- OPDC needs a framework for a systems of systems approach and a regenerative development
- There is also a need for a process to create a shared vision is this something that the LSDC might be able to lead and advise on?
- Other issues that make this imperative is that London is not meeting its CO2 targets
- OPDC are currently defining the environmental standards by Dec 2015
- Suggestion made that links could also be set up with the main boroughs CW

Action: Claudia to set up and pursue through LEDNET

Action: Dan to present these ideas to OPDC team to take forward

CW Sec to set up meeting with OPDC

7. London Leaders

Future direction (incl. Commissioner involvement / resourcing):

- KL reflected on the meeting's discussion and the programme to date. She highlighted the benefits of the programme as support, training etc and to the Commission of the associated PR.
- The Commission had responded in the majority to keep the programme. Following this, a small group had discussed the idea of a green entrepreneurs panel. The idea was discussed and it was noted that significant buy-in from the Mayor would be needed for it to be effective. JB noted the Global Clean Tech 100 and proposed a London Clean Tech 50 for whom the Commission could make interventions and monitor growth. The Global Clean Tech group have established criteria that could be drawn on. Again, sponsorship of the new Mayor would be needed plus additional resources (e.g. interns)
- PF noted the absence of a dedicated SME support programme for green business at City Hall.

Action: KL to consider the above and make recommendations for next meeting

KL and Sec

	i) Other projects - updates	
•	Mayoral candidates briefings and meetings – to take place in Jan Recruiting new commissioners – to take place in new year	Sec
8.	Next Meeting: 25 th Feb 2016	