

<p>Full Commission Meeting 9th June City Hall, 2-5pm, CR4</p>

<p>Attendees – Commissioners Greg Barker (Chair) Paul Turner (Deputy Chair) Nicky Gavron Paul de Zylva Karen Lawrence Paul Toyne Bridget Jackson Richard Templer David Brownlow (plus Alex Poppov) James Cameron Miriam Maes Evelyn de Rothschild</p>	<p>James Byrne Dan Epstein Simon Moody (EA Rep standing in for Peter Ainsworth) Jeremy Oppenheim</p> <p>GLA Matthew Pencharz</p> <p>Secretariat Patrick Feehily Nusrat Yousuf Jem McKenna-Percy Ingrid Styles</p>
--	---

Minutes

Item	Action
<p>1. Welcome and Introductions</p> <p>Apologies: Peter Ainsworth – being represented by Simon Moody, Environment Agency’s Area Manager for London; Ed Gillespie.</p>	
<p>2. Minutes from previous meeting</p> <p>No outstanding issues or item is due to be discussed at meeting.</p>	
<p>3. Sub group priorities</p> <p>i) <u>Decentralised Energy (DE) – presented by Miriam Maes</u></p> <p>The DE sub group have been working on a scoping report detailing how the LSDC can add value to decentralised energy in London. The paper has two themes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The creation of/support for a vehicle (so called DIFCO) to operate between energy producers/suppliers and consumers in order to coordinate and bring forward finance for those elements of low risk schemes that fail to achieve commercial rates of return during their early build-out stages. • A tall buildings competition. • Examine the barriers to deployment of smaller scale renewables (in particular solar PV) and how to stimulate investment in this area. <p><u>Issues raised:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group was broadly in support of the three projects. 	<p>See attached presentation and work plan</p>

Item	Action
<p>cluster.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to make links to EXPO 2025 – Sec to investigate further. • DE suggested that the group could build on how Olympicopolus was created at the Olympic part following 2012. What was the template that was used? Could this be replicated for the clean tech cluster. • JO suggested it might be worth examining the critical success factor for Tech city which was a more organic process of building a cluster. Are we trying to create a campus feel or a more structured cluster? If organic then what are the key interventions? • JO raised issue if OPDC site was the right one? Why here. Sec to produce a one sider to put the case for OPDC site. • GB suggested the need to develop a target e.g. 30 companies in 2/3 years. Report needs to be specific to OPDC area. • Ideas and timelines to be put into report due in autumn. • Likely structure would be that Imperial west would provide the start-up and IP and business development opportunities, Park Royal would provide the growth phase for a business and Old Oak and surrounds would provide space for further growth. • Need to address the issue that Park Royal needs to be protected as economic/development land for business rather than for housing). • BJ suggest that the real physical connectivity issues needed to be addressed between Imperial West and OPDC sites. • It was noted that Eddy Lister is chairing the OPDC board – Matthew Pencharz is also on the board and should be able to champion the clean tech cluster idea. • Sec to set up separate meeting to input into OPDC local plan. <p>iii) Circular Economy (CE) – Paul Turner</p> <p>Ray Georgeson has been commissioned by the LSDC to produce a scoping report on ‘Creating a Secondary Materials Economy in London’. This work is nearing completion and a draft will be presented to Matthew alongside the scoping work being carried out by the GLA Waste Team by the end of June. This report will input into the route map for a CE that will be produced by LWARB. Matthew Pencharz will be briefed when appropriate to consider the findings of that report and the outcomes which will feed directly into the LWARB route map for the circular economy. The priority areas the CE sub group will be looking at are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developing a Route map: Inputting into the CE route map being produced by LWARB as part of the LIP. Championing the work of LWARB - draft route map due in the autumn. The group are also exploring ideas around developing a potential Business Commitment around the Mayors Circular Economy Commitment and exploring the potential for public and private procurement to drive the CE. • Research and Evidence Base: Secondary Materials Economy in London – Scoping Report. Employment and the CE - in partnership with LWARB, and GLA will commission a detailed job opportunity report from WRAP on the CE for London. • Funding the Transition:-Supporting LWARB in lobbying for £100m windfall tax to help drive – it would be good to get Murad’s support for this. 	<p>Sec Sec</p> <p>Sec to examine in more detail</p> <p>Sec</p> <p>Sec</p> <p>See attached presentation and work plan</p>

Item	Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A household waste recycling and composting target of 50% by 2020. - Savings to local authority budgets of £100 million over the course of this parliament. - An increase in GVA of £100 million over the course of this parliament. - Money would be spent on the standardisation of waste collections and MAR/COMS. LA would need to sign up to a series of criteria to get money. - LSDC currently exploring how best to work with GLA to further this. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Showcasing Examples: Through the focus of the London Leaders programme on the CE with the Ellen MacArthur foundations CE100 programme. Also work with London and Partners and others to market London as a CE centre. <p><u>Issues raised:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The European commission is currently relooking at the CE work stream. PTu and NG recently met Paul Ekins from UCL who mentioned that the reason that Germany rejected the original proposals was that they were too weak – Now the EU are trying to strengthen the CE directives. • GLA are now signed up to the Ellen McArthur Foundations CE100 regions programme so will have access to the network, knowledge partners and library etc. and the SME programme. Currently negotiating contract. • JO made the offer that he would look into McKinsey’s providing a light touch analytical input from their staff to the LSDC sub groups 10-15 hours / month. JO to liaise with Sec to organise. • McKinsey’s have also been reworking the CE jobs for Europe and Imperial have been investigating material research in this sector. – JO and RT to send through when ready. • Route map needs to be materials focussed and truly visionary. • Could an exchange for materials be created? • What are the implications for costs of labour? - taxation incentives are needed. • Is there a need for de-risk (to iron out the market variability of resources)? • Would like to see procurement recommendation highlighted. <p>GB made the overall point that reports from sub groups that will be due at the next full commission meeting in Oct should be looking to :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Getting others to deliver. • Make suggestions for targets and outcomes. • Suggest funding and resourcing. • Worth remembering that how the reports are written, consulted with will determine their overall outcome. • Next meeting - delivery timetables for each sub group. <p>iv) Comms</p> <p>To be discussed at a future meeting in detail and timetable to be produced.</p>	<p></p> <p>JO and Sec</p> <p>JO & RT</p> <p>Sub groups</p> <p>JMP</p>
<p>4. Other projects</p> <p>i) Lingang</p>	

Item	Action
address some diversity and skills gaps on the LSDC – suggestions of potential names to invite to apply to Sec. - Next meeting in Oct to be at another venue – any suggestions to Sec.	Sec to organise